

***CWPOA No. 73 of 2019***

31.08.2021 Present: Mr. Subhash Mohan Snehi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Yudhvair Singh Thakur, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents.

In sequel to order dated 19.7.2021, learned Deputy Advocate General has placed on record the instructions dated 31st August, 2021 wherein it is stated that budget under Grant-in-Aid was allotted to school but Grant-in-Aid was not released to the petitioner for the reason that he was engaged by PTA of concerned school on temporary basis out of Local PTA fund on 3.7.2007 on the consolidated amount of Rs.1500/- per month upto 31st March, 2008 and from April, 2008 on Rs.1000/- per month and engagement of petitioner was not as per GIA PTA Policy, therefore, he was not covered under the policy of Rules GIA to PTA.

Learned Deputy Advocate General further submits that he has instructions to communicate that budget of Grant-in-Aid released to school was for four other persons engaged as per GIA to PTA policy in the same school.

The submission of learned Deputy Advocate General is confronted by learned counsel for petitioner by saying that he has instructions to say that except petitioner, during relevant period, no-one else was appointed as PTA teacher in the school concerned.

In view of rival assertions of parties, petitioner as well as respondent No.2, Director of Higher Education, are directed to file affidavits in support of their respective assertions made herein-above. The requisite affidavits be filed within two weeks.

List for consideration on **6th October, 2021.**

August 31, 2021
(ms)

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge