

**Mohan Singh and others vs. Hukam Singh & others**

**RSA No.237 of 2019**

30.08.2025 Present: Mr. Karun Negi, Advocate, for the appellants.  
Mr. Rakesh Thakur, Advocate, for respondents No.1, 6 to 10 11(a) to 11(c), 12(a) to 12(b).  
None for respondent No.25(f).

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that though, application being CMP No.20677 of 2025 has been filed seeking service of unserved respondents by way of substituted mode, but in the meantime he has also filed process fee along with correct addresses of the unserved respondents. He prays that orders on CMP No.20677 of 2025 may not be passed and one more opportunity should be granted to serve the unserved respondents by ordinary process on the steps already taken on behalf of the appellants.

As per report of the Registry only the application for substituted service has been filed. There is no report that steps, as stated by the appellants, have been taken by filing process fee and correct addresses. Registry to make report in this behalf.

List on 3<sup>rd</sup> September, 2025.

**( Satyen Vaidya )  
Judge**

August 30, 2025  
(vt)