

Ramesh Chand & ors. vs. Om Raj & Ors.

**RSA No. 57 of 2017 a/w
RSA No. 237 of 2019**

16.09.2023 Present: Mr. Amit Himalvi, Advocate, for the appellant in RSA No. 57 of 2017 and Mr. Sumesh, Advocate, vice Mr. Anirudh Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant in RSA No. 237 of 2019.

Mr. Sanjeev Kuthiala, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Amita Chandel, Advocate, for the respondents No. RSA No. 57 of 2017.

Mr. Rakesh Thakur, Advocate, for the respondents in RSA No. 237 of 2019.

Ms. Lalita Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No. 2.

CMP(M) Nos. 1066, 1067, 168, 1069, 1070, 1071, 1072 and 1073 in RSA No. 237 of 2019

Notices could not be issued for want of process fee. Needful be done during the course of the day, failing which appeal shall be deemed to be dismissed for want of prosecution. In the event of process fee being filed, issue notice to the LR's of respondents No. 11,14,15 and 28 in the respective applications, returnable within six weeks.

Process fee alongwith correct address for the service of respondents No. 2 to 5, 13, 18 19, 21 to 23, 38 and 39 not filed. Fresh steps for service of respondent No. 25(f) also not taken. Needful be done within a week, failing which appeal shall be dismissed for want of prosecution. In the event of steps being taken, issue notice to the aforesaid respondents, returnable for 03.11.2023.

RSA No. 57 of 2017

Delinked.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

16th September, 2023(Sanjeev)