

Court on its own motion Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.

CWPIL No. 35/2021

10.01.2024 Present: Court on its own motion.

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Rakesh Dhaulta, Mr. Pranay Pratap Singh, Additional Advocates General, Mr. Arsh Rattan, Mr. Sidharth Jalta, Deputy Advocates General, for respondents No.1 to 3 and 5.

Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Sai Amar Vivek Aggarwal, Sr. Advocate through video conference with Mr. Rajesh Kumar Parmar, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

Heard Mr. Sai Amar Vivek Aggarwal, learned Senior Advocate for the HPNLU and Mr. Anup Rattan, learned Advocate General for the State of Himachal Pradesh.

While the learned Senior Counsel for the HPNLU complain of lack of sanction of revenue expenditure to the university for number of years in the past, the learned Advocate General suggested that if a request for making a budgetary provision for such expenditure is received from the University by the Finance Department of the State of Himachal Pradesh, the same would be considered in the next budget, which is proposed to be approved for the State of Himachal Pradesh. He also stated that the State Government is keen that the university should run efficiently and without any problems, since it is a Himachal Pradesh National Law University.

List the matter on 11th March 2024, for review of the steps taken in the interregnum by both the parties.

(M.S. Ramachandra Rao)
Chief Justice

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

10th January, 2024_(rohit)