

Tara Chand Dhiman and others Vs. State of HP & another

**CWP No. 6574 of 2026
and CMP No. 9488 of 2026**

04.05.2026 **Present:** Mr. Lovneesh Kanwar, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Tarun Garla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Assistant Advocate General, for the respondents.

Notice Mr. Rajat Chauhan, learned Assistant Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. Reply if any be filed within two weeks.

The petitioner by way of present petition has laid challenge to the office memorandum dated 08.04.2026 whereby the revised final seniority of Group Instructors indicating the position as it stood on 31.12.2025 in the pay scale of Rs.10300-34800 + 4200 Grade Pay, has been issued.

The grievance of the petitioners as canvassed by the learned senior counsel is that no doubt the office memorandum dated 08.04.2026 has been issued in compliance with the directions passed by this Court in ***CWP No.3993 of 2021*** tilted ***Jitender Singh Rangta and Ors. vs. State of HP and Anr.*** and connected petition decided on 07.04.2025, but they were not arrayed as party respondents in the petitions. Further, learned senior counsel has also submitted that the petitioner namely Jitender Singh Rangta, who is petitioner in CWP No. 3993 of 2021 and other affected persons have already instituted a petition

bearing *CWP No.4803 of 2021*, titled, *Manoj Kumar Sharma and others vs. State of HP and others*, wherein, the notification dated 17.05.2021 has been challenged. Since, the petitioners were not party before this Court, the aforesaid petition instituted by Jitender Singh Rangta and others, the orders were obtained in their absence.

Keeping in view the above facts, it is ordered that till the next date of hearing, the respondents shall not take any action on the basis of the office memorandum dated 08.04.2026.

List on **18.05.2026**.

(Jiya Lal Bhardwaj)
Judge

4th May, 2026
(Ritu)