



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA

CWP No. 9014/2026
Decided on: 05.06.2026

Bharti Kashyap

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of H. P. & Ors.

.....Respondents

.....
Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner:

Mr. Nishant Khidtta, Advocate.

For the respondents:

**Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General
with Mr. Rajat Chaudhary, Assistant
Advocate General, for respondents
No.1.**

**Mr. Sandeep Pandey, Advocate for
respondent No.2.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J.

This writ petition has been filed for the grant of following
substantive relief: -

*“ That writ in the nature of mandamus may kindly be issue directing
the respondents to specifically respondent No.3 college to provide
the petitioner with all her educational documents and testimonials
forthwith.”*

2. The writ file reflects that, for the grant of the relief prayed
for in the writ petition, the petitioner has already taken recourse by



instituting Civil Suit No. 115/1 of 2024 in the Court of learned Senior Civil Judge, Solan, District Solan. Copies of the plaint and the written statement filed by respondent No. 3-College (defendant therein) are available on record. The petitioner cannot pursue two parallel remedies for the same relief. The aforesaid civil suit has already been instituted by the petitioner, wherein the written statement stands filed. In view of pendency of aforesaid civil suit, it will not be appropriate to entertain the present petition, which admittedly seeks the same relief as claimed in the aforesaid civil suit. The instant writ petition is accordingly dismissed. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

05th June, 2026 (rohit)