

Jeet Ram Vs. Himachal Pradesh University & Anr.

CWP No.5051 of 2021

18.09.2025 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Senior Advocate with Mr. Sparsh Bhushan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Archna Dutt, Advocate, for the respondents.

While placing on record communication dated 18.10.2024, issued under the signatures of Registrar, Himachal Pradesh University, Ms. Archna Dutt, learned counsel representing the respondents, states that though effort was made towards amicable settlement, but since petitioner only offered to pay Rs.10-20,000/- against sum of Rs.1,83,064/-, amicable settlement is not possible.

Mr. Sparsh Bhushan, learned counsel for the petitioner, while responding to afore submission, states that though nothing is payable for the reason that petitioner occupied the premises in question from the year 2018 to 2021 on account of stay granted by the erstwhile HP Administrative Tribunal in favour of his son, who otherwise had surrendered his house rent, but yet petitioner is ready and willing to give Rs.50,000/- in total against amount claimed through communication dated 17.08.2021 (*Annexure P-2*).

Let learned counsel representing the respondent to have fresh instructions.

List on 22.09.2025.

September 18, 2025
(*sunil*)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge