

**Breeze Aircon Vs. M/s Piller 9 TAE India
Kapila Towers & Ors.
Exe. Pt. No. 27 of 2023**

01.01.2025 Present: Ms. Madhurika Sekhon, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Janesh Gupta, Advocate.

None for respondents No.1 & 2.

A perusal of order passed by the learned Additional Registrar (Judicial) demonstrates that respondents No.1 & 2 stood duly served for 11.03.2024, however, despite service, none has put in appearance on their behalf. In the light of the aforesaid, said respondents are hereby ordered to be proceeded against ex-parte.

Further, in terms of the said order, though respondent No.3 was not served and the report was that the given address was found locked, yet Mr. Janesh Gupta, learned counsel, had put in appearance and filed Memorandum of Appearance. Today, Mr. Janesh Gupta, Advocate, submits that he has not received any instructions from the said respondent. In the light of the fact that respondent No.3 was not duly served when Mr. Janesh Gupta, had put in appearance on behalf of the said respondent, let fresh notice be issued to the said

respondent, returnable for **24.03.2025**. Steps for service be taken, within a period of one week.

Mr. Nitin Thakur, learned counsel, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4. On his request, four weeks time is granted to file objections to the execution petition.

At this stage, on the request of learned counsel for the execution petitioner, let dasti notice be also issued to respondent No.3, in addition to the notice through normal process. Let steps for dasti notice be taken, within one week and thereafter, dasti notice be handed over to learned counsel for the execution petitioner by 08.01.2025.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

January 01, 2025
(Shivank Thakur)