

**Kamal Chand vs. State of H.P. & Ors.**

**CWP No. 3841 of 2019**

**27.04.2023** Present: Mr. Rajeshwar Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Rattan, A.G. with Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Ms. Sharmila Patial, Addl. A.Gs., Ms. Priyanka Chauhan, Dy. A.G. and Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law Officer, for respondents-State.

On 23.03.2023, the Court passed the following order:-

The petitioner has to justify the maintainability of the petition upon the fact that at the time of filing of the petition, the petitioner was aged about 60 years and the cause of action is related to the year 2003.

Reply on behalf of the respondents-State is stated to have been filed, however, the same is not on record. Registry is directed to trace the same and place it on record. Rejoinder, as prayed, be filed within four weeks.

List for consideration on 27.04.2023.

Today, learned Law Officer states that even though the reply was initially filed on 07.01.2020, however, the same was returned back with certain objections and thereafter, in fact, the same has been mis-placed, therefore, he may be permitted to file fresh reply.

In the given facts and circumstances of the case, we deem it appropriate to accede to the request of the State. Let reply be filed within two days.

Rejoinder, if any, be filed within one week.

List on 11.05.2023.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)  
Acting Chief Justice**

**(Virender Singh)  
Judge**

**27<sup>th</sup> April, 2023** (Sanjeev)