

Ludar Singh vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others

Cr.MMO No.658 of 2022

29.05.2026 Present Mr. M.L. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocate Generals with Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General, for the respondent-State.

Mr. Jeewan Lal Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 3 to 7.

Though, in terms of order dated 04.11.2025, learned Local Commissioner has submitted his report, but bare perusal of the same suggests that learned Local Commissioner was unable to conduct the demarcation at the spot for the reason that land in question was covered with bushes and grass on account of the rainy season. The parties, at the relevant time, decided to get the demarcation conducted on some subsequent date by which time the grass and bushes from their respective land would be removed.

Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, learned Local Commissioner, states that he shall visit the site again, but information with regard to removal of the grass and bushes shall be furnished by learned counsel for the petitioner, enabling him to fix an appropriate date for carrying out the demarcation. Learned counsel for the parties undertake to obtain instructions of their respective clients and thereafter necessary intimation shall be given to learned Local Commissioner to do the needful in

terms of the order passed by this Court. Needless to say, as and when Local Commissioner visits the site alongwith the revenue authorities, the police officials shall also remain present on the date fixed by learned Local Commissioner.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

May 29, 2026
(shankar)