

**Dr. Veenus Sharma & Ors. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.**

**CWP No. 7155 of 2025**

**07.05.2025** Present: Mr. Rajnish Maniktala, Senior Advocate with Mr. Dinkar Bhaskar & Ms. Bhushree Jain, Advocates, for the petitioners.

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar & Mr. B.C. Verma, Additional Advocate Generals, with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents-State.

**CWP No. 7155 of 2025**

Notice. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file reply.

**CMP No. 9286 of 2025**

Notice in the aforesaid terms. Reply, if any, be filed on or before the next date of hearing. Needless to say, during the pendency of the present petition, respondents shall decide the representations filed by the petitioners, taking note of the judgment passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWPOA No.6401 of 2019, titled **Dr. Sanjay Guleria and others vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others** alongwith connected matters.

Hon'ble Apex Court in case titled **Lt. Col. Suprita Chandel vs. Union of India and others**, 2024 SCC Online SC 3664, has categorically held that where a citizen aggrieved by an action of the government department has approached the

Court and obtained a declaration of law in his/her favour, others similarly situated ought to be extended the benefits without the need for them to go to Court.

**CMP No. 9287 of 2025**

The application is disposed of with direction to the applicants/petitioners to file the translated copies of documents in issue within four weeks.

**May 07, 2025**  
*(sunil)*

**(Sandeep Sharma),**  
**Judge**