

24.5.2016. Present: Mr. Dilip Sharma, Senior Advocate with Mr. Umesh Kanwar, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. K.D. Sood, Senior Advocate with Ms. Ranjana Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondents.

Heard in the light of the affidavit of one of the respondents i.e. respondent No. 2 Rajinder Pal and the supplementary affidavit dated 28.3.2016. Admittedly, the Langer Bhawan now stands shifted from the disputed location. Therefore, the controversy qua throwing of dirty water of Langer Bhawan by the appellants-defendants now ceased to exist. The complaint however, is that now seepage of dirty water and throwing of the rubbish is from the administrative block shifted by the appellants-defendants to the same building from where the Langer Bhawan has been shifted.

Mr. Dilip Sharma, learned Senior Advocate, on instructions from Mr. Umesh Kanwar, Advocate, has stated at the Bar that the said complaint will also be redressed suitably and to the satisfaction of the respondents-plaintiffs if a proposal in that regard is made by them in writing to the appellants-defendants. The respondents-plaintiffs are, therefore, directed to approach the appellant No. 2 with a proposal as to what steps are required to be taken to prevent the seepage of water in their plot and the rubbish is also not thrown there by the administrative staff now sitting and residing in that building within two weeks from today. The appellants-Committee thereafter shall take necessary steps to prevent the seepage of dirty water and also to ensure removal of other nuisance like throwing of rubbish etc. on the plot of the respondents-plaintiffs. The respondents-plaintiffs are directed to extent all co-operation and assistance to the appellants-Committee to do needful on the

spot in the light of this order. The report in compliance be placed on record on the next date.

List on **30.6.2016**.

Copy Dasti.

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary),
Judge.

May 24, 2016,
(vs)