

Mata Chintpurni Mandir Committee vs. Raj Pal

RSA No.502 of 2015 a/w RSA No.138 of 2004

RSA No.502 of 2015

19.04.2022

Present: Mr.Manish Sharma, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr.Het Ram Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr.Sanjeev Sood, Advocate, for respondents No.1 and 2.

RSA No.138 of 2004

Mr. Raju Ram Rahi, Deputy Advocate General, for the appellants.

Respondent No.1 is stated to have expired.

Mr.Het Ram Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr.Sanjeev Sood, Advocate, for respondents No.9(a) to 9(e), 10, 11, 17(a) to 17(e), 18 and 19.

None for respondents No.2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 16, 20 and 21.

Respondents No.8(a) to 8(d) are *ex parte* vide order dated 08.04.2022.

RSA No.138 of 2004

Respondents No.2 to 6, 16, 20 and 21 stand duly served and respondents No.7, 12 to 15 also stand duly served through publication, but there is no representation on their behalf. Hence, they are proceeded *ex parte*.

Further one week's time, as prayed, is granted to take consequential steps on account of death of respondent No.1.

Fresh Power of Attorney on behalf of respondents No.10, 11, 18 and 19 also be filed in the meanwhile.

RSA No.502 of 2015

List alongwith RSA No.138 of 2004 on next date of hearing.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

April 19, 2022
(Purohit)