

Mata Chintpurni Mandir Committee vs. Raj Pal

RSA No.502 of 2015 a/w RSA No.138 of 2004

RSA No.502 of 2015

08.04.2022 Present: Mr.Dilip Sharma, Senior Advocate, alongwith Mr.Deepak Sharma, Advocate, for the appellants.
Mr.Kapil Dev Sood, Senior Advocate, alongwith Mr.Rahul Gathania, Advocate, vice Mr.Sanjeev Sood, Advocate, for respondents No.1 and 2.

RSA No.138 of 2004

Mr. Raju Ram Rahi, Deputy Advocate General, for the appellants.

Respondent No.1 is stated to have expired.

Mr.Kapil Dev Sood, Senior Advocate, alongwith Mr.Rahul Gathania, Advocate, vice Mr.Sanjeev Sood, Advocate, for respondents No.9(a) to 9(e), 10, 11, 17(a) to 17(e), 18 and 19.

None for respondents No.8(a) to 8(d).

None for respondents No.2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 16, 20 and 21.

Respondents No.7, 12 to 15 served through publication.

CMP No.3649 of 2022 in RSA No.138 of 2004

Factum of death of respondent No.1 already stands communicated to the appellants. Details of legal heirs of deceased respondent No.1 have also been given in para-2 of the application. All legal heirs of respondent No.1 are already on record as respondents No.2 to 7.

With aforesaid information, application is disposed of.

RSA No.138 of 2004

Respondents No.8(a) to 8(d) stand served for 28.09.2016, however, they have not chosen to be represented. Hence, they are proceeded *ex parte*.

Consequential steps on account of death of respondent No.1 be taken within a week.

List on the date already fixed.

Registry is also directed to report with respect to status of service and representation of all respondents.

RSA No.502 of 2015

List alongwith RSA No.138 of 2004 on next date of hearing.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

April 8, 2022
(Purohit)