

**Sh. Ravi Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.
CWP No. 2212 of 2019.**

25.04.2026 Present: Mr. Nishant Khidtta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rahul Thakur, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No.1 to 3.
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Motta, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

The Court stands informed that respondent No.4 now stands substituted by Himachal Pradesh Rajya Chayan Aayog, Hamirpur.

As prayed for, Himachal Pradesh Staff Selection Commission, Hamirpur is ordered to be deleted as respondent No.4 and Himachal Pradesh Rajya Chayan Aayog, Hamirpur, is impleaded as respondent No.4, through its Secretary. Registry is directed to carry out necessary correction in the memo of parties.

Notice. Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Motta, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4.

Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the name of the petitioner was at Sr. No. 4 as far as wait list candidates belonging to Scheduled Caste category are concerned. He submitted that one Ms. Tanu Kumari, who was at Sr. No. 2 in the waiting list, was offered appointment on account of the non-joining of the meritorious candidate of the said category, but she did not turn up for counseling, in terms

of the material on record. He submitted that in these circumstances, obviously, the post ought to have been thereafter offered to the petitioner, but as this has not been done, this amounts to injustice with the petition.

On a query put to learned counsel for the petitioner, he submitted that as far as Jaya Kumari is concerned, she stood appointed against another post.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 to have instructions in terms of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that if indeed a wait list candidate Ms. Tanu Kumari was offered appointment and if she did not join then why the post was not offered to the petitioner.

List on **21.05.2026**, as prayed for. Relevant record be also produced on the said date.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

April 25, 2026
(Shivank Thakur)