

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.6201 of 2025

Date of Decision: 25.11.2025

Manju LataPetitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & Anr. ... Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the Petitioner: Ms. Tara Devi, Advocate vice Mr. P.P.Chauhan, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocate General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

While placing on record communication dated Nil, issued under the signatures of Director, School Education, Himachal Pradesh, Mr. Vishal Panwar, learned Additional Advocate General, states that impugned order dated 28.03.2025 (Annexure P-1) has been withdrawn, as a result thereof, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

2. Having perused aforesaid communication as well as copy of office order dated 01.09.2025, which are taken on record, there appears to be merit in the contention of learned Additional Advocate General that vide order dated 01.09.2025, Director, School Education, Himachal Pradesh, taking note of judgment passed in CWPOA No.3435 of 2020, titled as **Satish Kumar and others vs. State of**

¹ Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

Himachal Pradesh and others alongwith CWPOA No.6850 of 2020, titled **Milat Ram and others vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others** alongwith connected matters, have withdrawn orders dated 28.03.2025 and 10.04.2025, which have been laid challenge in the instant proceedings.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the instant petition and accordingly same is disposed of, as having rendered infructuous. Interim order, if any, is vacated. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

November 25,2025
(shankar)