

Manju Lata vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and another

CWP No.6201 of 2025

11.04.2025 Present Ms. Shikha Rajta and Ms. Tara Devi, Advocates, for the petitioner.
Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar and Mr. B.C.Verma, Additional Advocate Generals and Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents/State.

CWP No.6201 of 2025 & CMP No. 7724 of 2025

Notice. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents/State. He prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file reply.

Careful perusal of averments contained in the petition reveals that petitioner herein had filed writ petitions in this Court, which were allowed vide separate orders, detail whereof is given in Annexure P-2.

This Court, while allowing the writ petitions, as detailed hereinabove, held that petitioner(s) would be deemed to be appointed on 01.05.2003 along with consequential benefits. Though at first instance, respondents laid challenge to aforesaid orders passed by this Court, but ultimately, they remained unsuccessful. Though SLP is stated to have been filed by the respondents, which is pending adjudication, but no stay has been granted. Although, in terms of orders passed by this Court, detail whereof is given in Annexure P-2, petitioner has been deemed to be appointed on 01.05.2003, but yet

notices are being issued for recovery of amount paid to them on account of arrears.

Having taken note of facts, detailed hereinabove, this Court deems it fit to stay the operation of impugned order (Annexure P-1) during the pendency of the present petition. Ordered accordingly.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

April 11, 2025
(shankar)