



Jagdish Sharma Versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others

CWP No.5059 of 2014

27.02.2026 Present: Mr. C.N. Singh and Mr. Anshul Gandhi,
Advocates, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Additional Advocate
General, for the Respondents-State.

Heard for some time.

Based on Prayer Clause-18 (iii), Learned Counsel for the petitioner has prayed for granting the daily wage status from the date of completion of 10 years' Part-Time Service reckonable from 03.11.1997 w.e.f. 03.11.2007 and thereafter to grant the resultant regularization from the date of completion of 8 years of daily waged service.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner points out that even in terms of Reply-Affidavit states that as per the judgment passed by this Court in *CWP No.3310 of 2010, Leela Devi v. State of Himachal Pradesh*, decided on 12.12.2017 and the *Instructions* dated 23.08.2012, the Part-Time Water Carriers are entitled for being brought on daily wages on completion of 10 years and regularization after 8 years of daily wage service. He submits that petitioner was appointed as Part-Time Water Carrier on 03.11.1997 and the petitioner deserves to be conferred daily wage status from date of completion of 10 years of Part-Time Service



2026:HHC:11548

w.e.f. 03.11.2007; and the petitioner is entitled for regularization from date of completion of 8 years of regular service w.e.f. 03.11.2015, whereas, the petitioner stands regularized on 07.10.2016 by the State Authorities.

3. Learned Counsel for Respondents-State states that regularization can be against sanctioned post and petitioner may have a claim in case any junior was regularized prior to him.

4. Faced with this situation, Learned Counsel for the petitioner prays for an adjournment to have Instructions in the matter.

As prayed, list this matter on **10.04.2026.**

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

February 27, 2026
(Bhardwaj)