



2025:HHC:39752

Municipal Corporation, Shimla vs. Mukesh Mahajan and another

CMPMO No. 474 of 2023

11.09.2025 Present: Mr. Mukul Sood, Advocate, for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

From a perusal of the complaint, which forms the genesis of the entire proceedings, which was diarised with the petitioner-corporation, vide diary No. 2060/Mukhya/20 dated 30.06.2020, it is evident that the property whereupon the alleged illegal structure has been raised belongs to one Channan Singh. The present respondents are the tenants of Channan Singh, against whom it is alleged that they have raised the illegal structure in the case at hand.

In the aforesaid facts and attending circumstances, in the first instance, notice of the illegal structure raised should have been issued to Channan Singh. In view of the aforesaid, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner-corporation seeks time to have instructions in the matter.

List on 18.09.2025.

(Bipin C. Negi)
Judge

September 11, 2025.
(Susheel)