

**Hari Ram & Ors. v. State of H.P. & Anr.
CWP No.6881 of 2023**

09.12.2024 Present: Mr. Lalit K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sharmila Patial, Additional Advocate General, for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Petitioners, who are the retired employees of the Corporation have filed this writ petition praying for setting aside of Annexure P-7, in terms whereof, their representations have been rejected, as well as for issuance of a direction to the respondents to give placement to the petitioners in the Higher Pay Scale and also designate them as Technician Grade-II and Technician Grade-I, in terms of the averments made in the writ petition.

A perusal of Annexure P-7 demonstrates that whereas, it is the contention of the Authority that after State notification dated 29.09.2012 was adopted by HRTC, in terms of order dated 24.10.2013, benefits thereof were released in favour of the petitioners, yet, in the concluding para of the petition, it has been mentioned that the representations of the petitioners stand rejected. This Court is unable to make out as to whether the relief

that was being prayed for by the petitioners has actually been granted to them or not and further, if something has been granted to them, then, what has been released in favour of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the respondents-Corporation is accordingly called upon to have instructions in this regard.

List on 24.10.2024.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

December 09, 2024
(*Vinod*)