

Chuni Lal Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.

Execution Petition No.282 of 2025

24.11.2025 Present: Mr. Surinder K. Saklani, Advocate, for the applicant/ petitioner.
Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, for non-applicants/respondents No.1 to 3.
Mr. D.S. Kainthla, Advocate, for non-applicant/ respondent No.4.

Exe. Pet. No.282 of 2025 & CMP No.23118 of 2025

Learned Additional Advocate General has handed over Instructions, alongwith which Office Order dated 19.11.2025 is appended, in terms whereof, the case of the petitioner for the grant of pension has been rejected. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the rejection is *per se* perverse in the eyes of law, for the reason that the respondents are presuming as if the petitioner has retired as an employee of the Forest Corporation. He submits that the petitioner was an employee of the Forest Department. He was sent on deputation to the Forest Corporation and he superannuated as such from the Forest Corporation, but he was never absorbed as an employee of the Forest Corporation. Let learned Additional Advocate General to confirm this fact. As prayed for, list on **05.12.2025**. Affidavit of the Competent Authority to this effect be filed positively by the next date of hearing.

Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

November 24, 2025
(Rishi)