

PREM LAL AND ANR Versus STATE OF HP AND ORS

CRMMO-512 of 2022

06.03.2026 Present: Mr. Virender Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General with Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocate General, for respondent No.1 to 3-State.

Ms. Sunita Sharma, Senior Advocate with Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

HC Mukesh Kumar No.49, PS Darlaghat, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh, present in person.

Pursuant to order dated 27.02.2026, Investigating Officer has come present with record. Record perused and returned.

Careful perusal of record reveals that service wire was allegedly removed by the petitioner from the hook fixed with the wall of his house, as a result thereof, lives of persons as well as animals walking on the street below could be in danger.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that as per instructions imparted to him, now service wire has been removed from the petitioner's property and for that purpose, one electric pole has been fixed on the spot.

Let learned counsel for respondent/electricity board have instructions on or before next date "whether service wire has been removed from the house of the petitioner by erecting pole or not?"

List on 23.03.2026.

March 06, 2026
(*sunil*)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge