

HP University vs. Dinesh Kumar

***LPA No. 636 of 2025 with CWP
No.1713 of 2023 and LPA No. 11 of 2023***

LPA No. 636 of 2025

26.11.2025 Present: Mr. Sat Parkash Advocate vice Ms. Archana Dutt, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate for respondents No.2, 4 to 8 and 10 to 17.

Respondents No.3 and 9 are stated to have expired.

CWP No. 1713 of 2023

None for the petitioner though represented by Mr.Vivek Singh Attri, Advocate.

Mr. Sat Parkash Advocate vice Ms. Archana Dutt, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate for respondents No.2, 3, 5 to 9 and 11 to 18.

Respondents No.4 and 10 are stated to have expired.

LPA No. 11 of 2023

None for the appellant though represented by Mr.Vivek Singh Attri, Advocate.

Mr. Sat Parkash Advocate vice Ms. Archana Dutt, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate for respondents No.2, 3, 5 to 18.

Respondent No.4 is stated to have expired.

CMP No. 28556 of 2025 in LPA No. 636 of 2025

Learned counsel appearing for the appellant, under instruction of learned original counsel Ms. Archana Dutt, Advocate,

seeks one day’s time to respond to the plea taken in application.

Time, as prayed, is granted with direction that respondent-University shall maintain status quo as exists on date with respect to proposed promotion, if any.

List on *27th November, 2025*.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

November 26, 2025
(ms)

(Romesh Verma)
Judge