



Roop Lal versus Municipal Corporation Shimla  
a/w connected matters.

CWPOA No.7310 of 2020 a/w CWP No.1713, 1895 to 1897, 1934, 1935, 1961, 1974, 2031, 2038, 2550 of 2019, CWPOA Nos. 4380, 5302, 5546, 6621, 6828, 7273, 7313, 7319, 7320, 7340, 7341, 7347, 7348 of 2020, CWP Nos. 6870, 6871 of 2021 and CWP No. 6299 of 2022.

13.11.2024. Present: Mr. Guna Nand Verma, Mr. Jai Ram Sharma, Mr. Bonit Thakur, vice Ms. Babita Chauhan, Advocates, for the petitioner(s) in respective petitions.

Mr. Mukul Sood, Advocate, for the respondent/M.C. Shimla.

Mr. Ajeet Sharma, Deputy Advocate General for respondent-State.

Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate vice Mr. Lokender Paul Thakur, Senior Panel Counsel for respondent-Union of India.

Mr. Rangil Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.7-Accountant General, in CWPOA No.2550 of 2019.

Heard for some time.

**2.** Learned State Counsel places on record instructions dated 12.11.2024 [*taken on record*], indicating that the issue as to whether the employees of Respondent-Corporation [Municipal Corporation, Shimla, which is the creation of a Statute] are to be granted/extended the benefit of Old Pension Scheme, notified by Government as per Office Memorandum dated 04.05.2023.



2025:HHC:42290

**3.** The instructions dated 12.11.2024, indicates that the review meeting was held on 06.11.2024, whereby some additional information was solicited by the Principal Secretary [Urban Development].

**4.** The point for determination in the instant case is as under :-

“Whether the petitioners who are employees of Respondent-Municipal Corporation, Shimla, a statutory body created under the Himachal Pradesh Municipal Corporation Act, are entitled for the benefit of Old Pension Scheme, as applicable in case of State Government Employees or not ?”

As prayed for by learned counsel for the petitioners, list the matter on **19.11.2024**.

November 13, 2024  
(Chiranjeev)

**(Ranjan Sharma)**  
**Judge**