

Santosh Kumar vs. UOI & others

CWP No. 1906 of 2018

12.12.2025 **Present:** Mr. B.S.Chauhan, Senior Advocate with Mr. Munish Dhatwalia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Balram Sharma, Deputy Solicitor General of India, for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Respondents No. 3 to 5 already ex-parte vide order dated 22.07.2025.

On 08.12.2025, on the basis of report of Registry, it was observed that the process fee had not been re-filed after removal of objection and therefore, one week's time was granted to take appropriate steps and notice was ordered to be issued to respondent No.3 returnable on 12.05.2026.

Today, the matter has been taken up for mention made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that after passing of order dated 08.09.2025 necessary steps were taken on 10.09.2025 but there were certain objections and after removing the objection the process fee etc. was re-filed on 17.09.2025, which has been duly entered in the Registry in a relevant Register at Sr. No. 1246. It has been submitted that despite that, it appears that process fee did not reach to the file and as such Dealing Hand reported non-refiling of process fee after the removal of the objection.

Now, fresh steps have also been taken on 10.12.2025.

In the aforesaid circumstances, as prayed, notice be issued to respondent No.3 returnable on 23.03.2026.

Registry to also check and verify the status of refiling of objection entered at Sr. No. 1246 of the relevant register and submit report by next date.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

December 12, 2025 (Nisha)