

Kunal Thakur vs. State of Himachal Pradesh

Cr.MP(M) No.581 of 2026

17.04.2026 Present Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate vice Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Suri, Advocate, for the applicant/appellant.

Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocate Generals with Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General, for the respondent-State.

By way of instant application, prayer has been made on behalf of the applicant/petitioner for condonation of delay in filing the accompanying petition, which is barred by limitation.

While putting in appearance on behalf of the non-applicant/respondent, Mr. Ravi Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, fairly states that he does not intend to file any reply to the application and this Court may pass appropriate order.

Having carefully perused the averments contained in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, this Court is convinced and satisfied that delay in maintaining the accompanying appeal is neither intentional nor deliberate, rather same has occurred on the account of the circumstances, which were completely beyond the control of the applicant/appellant and as such, delay of 31 days in filing the appeal, which in my considered view has been sufficiently explained, is condoned. The appeal be registered. The application stands disposed of.

Cr. Appeal No._____ of 2026

Admit.

Issue post admission notice. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of post admission notice on behalf of the respondent/State. Record of learned Court below be called for.

List for hearing in due course.

Cr.MPST No.2323 of 2026

Reply, if any, be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 18.05.2026.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

April 17, 2026
(shankar)