

M/s Sohmed Care Pvt. Ltd. vs. Lok Raj and another

Civil Suit No.25 of 2022

20.04.2022

Present: Mr.Rahul Mahajan, Advocate, for the plaintiff.

Mr.Bhupinder Gupta, Senior Advocate, alongwith  
Mr.Janesh Gupta, Advocate, for defendant No.1.

Mr.Vinod Chauhan, Advocate, for defendant No.2.

Witnesses Gurcharan Singh and Bhushan Kumar are present in sequel to notice issued to them under Order 16 Rule 12 CPC. Replies to show cause notice, duly supported by their respective affidavits, are taken on record.

For the averments made in the replies, treating it to be true and genuine, notice issued to them is discharged and proceedings under Order 16 Rule 12 CPC are closed.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for defendant No.1 that before examining the witnesses of facts like Gurcharan Singh and Bhushan Kumar, examination of plaintiff as a witness would be necessary. However, he submits that witnesses of the record like officials of office of Sub-Registrar, Solan, and officials of Punjab and Sind Bank, Amritsar, may be examined before examining the plaintiff.

Learned counsel for the plaintiff intends to examine witnesses of record first before examining the plaintiff for which there is no objection on behalf of the defendants.

Steps for service of witnesses of record have not been taken. Be taken within a week.

Witnesses present today, namely Gurcharan Singh and Bhushan Kumar are witnesses of facts and, therefore, they are to be examined after examining the plaintiff.

List after one week before Additional Registrar  
(Judicial) for fixing a date for recording the evidence of the plaintiff.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)  
Judge

April 20, 2022  
(Purohit)