

CWPs No.1816, 1817, 533 of 2018 and
CWP No.141 of 2020.

11.3.2020

Present: Mr. B.C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ankur Saigal and Mr. Janesh Gupta, Advocates, for the petitioner(s).
Mr. R.K. Gautam, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Megha Kapoor Gautam, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No.1817 of 2018.
Mr. Vinod Thakur, Additional Advocate General with Mr. Bhupinder Thakur and Mr. Narinder Singh Thakur, Dy. Advocate Generals, for the respondents-State.
Mr. C.N. Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.3 in CWP No.533 of 2018.

CMP No.14265 of 2019

Despite opportunities, respondents have failed to file their replies. Even otherwise, the only amendment sought in this petition is qua prayer "**a**", which is only an additional prayer, introduced in paragraph "**a**" of the relief clause.

We see no reason, how the respondents can be said to be aggrieved by introduction of a prayer which flows from the allegations set out in the petition. Accordingly, the amendment is allowed. Amended writ petition is taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

CWP No.1816 of 2018

Since only the prayer clause of the petition is amended, we see no reason for affording an opportunity to the respondents to file any reply to the amended petition.

Tag alongwith CWP No.4100 of 2019.

List the petition for final hearing on **6th May, 2020**. In the meanwhile, pleadings be completed in the connected cases.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Chander Bhusan Barowalia)
Judge

11th March, 2020
(CS/virender)