

SUDESH KUMAR
Versus
THE STATE OF HP AND OTHERS

CWP-6921 of 2025

28.11.2025

Present: Mr. Ganesh Barowalia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General, with Mr. Ravi Chauhan and Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General, for State.

Mr. Nand Lal Thakur, Senior Panel Counsel, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Rahul Mahajan, Advocate, for respondents No.3 to 5.

Mr. Shivank Singh Panta, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

Reply on behalf of respondents No.3 to 5 is already on record. Learned counsel representing the petitioner prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file rejoinder.

While placing on record communication dated 28.11.2025, issued under the signatures of Special Secretary (Tourism & CA) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Mr. Ravi Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, states that no reply is intended to be filed on behalf of respondent No.1, rather they be permitted to adopt the reply filed on behalf of respondents No.3 to 5. Similarly, learned counsel representing respondent No.6 states that no reply is intended to be filed on behalf of respondent No.6 and as such, afore respondent intends to

adopt the reply filed on behalf of respondents No.3 to 5.

Ordered accordingly.

November 28, 2025
(Rajeev Raturi)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge