

28.10.2024 Present: Mr. Amrick Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Hemant K. Verma, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondent No.1.

Ms. Vandana Misra, Advocate, for the respondent No.2.

Heard for some time.

Precisely, the case is, that the petitioner was appointed as Store Mate w.e.f. 20.05.1988 and had been made to perform the duties of a Clerk which is borne out from certificate dated 31.08.1995 [Annexure A-1], coupled with the fact that even after his promotion on post of Laboratory Attendant on 19.07.2007 [Annexure A-3], the petitioner has in fact performed the work of a Clerk.

2. In this background, the petitioner has prayed for treating petitioner as Clerk with increments, pay scale and promotion for service rendered as Clerk notwithstanding the fact that he was appointed as Store Mate and then promoted as Laboratory Attendant *and* for converting the post of Laboratory Attendant on which he was promoted on 19.07.2007 [Annexure A-3], to the post of Clerk.

3. The point for consideration is :-

Whether the petitioner being a Store Mate and then promoted as Laboratory Attendant, but is alleged to have worked as Clerk can seek conversion or appointment or promotion as a Clerk from the date of initial appointment by mere performance of duties of Clerk ?

4. Ms. Vandana Misra, Advocate, states that reply has been filed.

5. Registry to trace the reply and place it on record, if in order.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner to place on record the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the post of Clerk in the University, as applicable, at the relevant time.

List the matter after two weeks.

October 28, 2024
(Chiranjeev)

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge