

(Item S-1)

Deepanshu Dhiman vs. State of H.P and others

Cr.WP No.15 of 2026

01.04.2026

Present: Mr.Kush Sharma and Mr.Abhishek Thakur, Advocates for the petitioner.

Mr.Varun Chandel, Additional Advocate General for respondents No.1 to 3-State.

Mr.Sanjeev Kuthiala, Senior Advocate with Ms.Tamanna Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.4 and 5.

SI Naresh Kumar, IO/PS, Talai, District Bilapsur is also present in person along-with the records.

In pursuance of the last order, detenu-Mehak Sharma has been produced by respondent No.3. We have interacted both with her and also with the petitioner. We have also interacted with respondents No.4 and 5, who are the parents of detenu-Mehak Sharma.

Though, the parties apparently got married on 04.11.2025, but they continued residing separately. It is only in later point of time, when respondents No.4 and 5 came to know about the factum of the wedlock, there has been opposition. Detenu herself is now denying as such as to whether she wishes to accompany the petitioner, keeping in view the fact that he is not educated having no study, job and merely driving the pick-up/truck owned by other persons.

Accordingly, let reply be filed by respondents No.4 and 5 by the next date of hearing.

To come up on 14th May, 2026. Parties need not to come present on the date fixed.

(G.S. Sandhawalia)
Chief Justice

April 01, 2026
(naveen)

(Jiya Lal Bhardwaj)
Judge