

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Cr. Revision Nos. 224 & 32 of 2015

Date of Decision : 9.1.2026

1. Cr. Revision No. 224 of 2015

Sonu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P.

...Respondent

2. Cr. Revision No. 32 of 2015

Vikram Kumar alias Vicky

...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P.

...Respondent

Coram

Hon'ble Mr Justice Rakesh Kainthla, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the petitioner(s), in : Mr. Jagan Nath, Advocate.
both the petitions.

For the respondents/State, : Mr. Jitender Sharma, Additional
in both the petitions Advocate General.

Rakesh Kainthla, Judge (Oral)

As per report received from Assistant Superintendent
of Jail, Chamba, both the accused have undergone the sentence
awarded to them.

¹ Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.

Since, the petitioner(s)/accused have expressed their intention not to proceed further with the present petitions, therefore, the present revisions are dismissed as not pressed, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

(Rakesh Kainthla)
Judge

9th January, 2026
(Chander)