

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA****CWP No. 4773 of 2026****Decided on: 20.04.2026**

Pankaj Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and another

... Respondents

Coram***Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge.*****Whether approved for reporting?¹**

For the petitioner : Ms. Anchal Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Pushpender Jaswal, Additional
Advocate General for respondents –
State.**Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge** [Oral]

Notice. Mr. Pushpender Jaswal, learned Additional Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner has already represented to the Department with regard to the issue raised in the writ petition, the interest of justice would be served, in case, this petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority to decide the representation filed by the petitioner Annexure P-4 in the light of averments made therein within some reasonable time.

3. Without making any observation on the merit of the case, this writ petition is accordingly disposed of with the direction

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?



2026:HHC:12285

that the representation made by the petitioner, appended with the writ petition as Annexure P-4, shall be decided by the competent Authority, in light of the averments made therein within a period of 12 weeks from today, by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

April 20, 2026
(*narender*)