



Ramesh Chand & Ors. vs State of HP & Ors.

CWPOA No.6702 of 2020 a/w CWPOA Nos.7894,
7897, 7898, 7901, 7929, 7931 and 7933 of 2019,
CWP No.1203 of 2020, CWP No.8690 of 2022,
Execution Petition No.503 of 2023 and
CWP No.12116 of 2023

06.01.2025 Present: Ms. Manisha, Advocate vice Mr. Prashant Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioners in CWPOA No.6702 of 2020.

Mr. Neel Kamal Sood, Senior Advocate with Mr. Vasu Sood, Mr. D.R. Hasta and Ms. Heena Bhardwaj, Advocates, for the petitioner(s) in CWPOA Nos.7894, 7897, 7898, 7901, 7929, 7931 and 7933 of 2019.

Ms. Aditi Rana, Advocate vice Mr. A.K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner(s) in CWP No.1203 of 2020.

None for petitioner in CWP No.8690 of 2022.

None for petitioner(s) in Ex. Petition No.503 of 2023.

Mr. Bhim Raj Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner(s) in CWP No.12116 of 2024.

Mr. Baldev Singh Negi, Additional Advocate General, for the respondents-State, in the respective petitions.

Mr. Lokendar Paul Thakur, Senior Panel Counsel, for the Respondent-Accountant General, in the respective petitions, except CWP No.12116 of 2024.

Mr. Rangil Singh, Advocate, for the Respondent-Accountant General, in CWP No.12116 of 2024.

Learned Senior Counsel, on instructions of the assisting counsel as well as instructions of petitioners states that though the petitioners have



2026:HHC:3309

assailed the orders dated 09.02.2016 [Annexure A-2], withdrawing the ACP benefits granted to them as Technician on completion of 4, 9 and 14 years of service but the challenge to withdrawal of ACP benefits is not being pressed in instant proceedings.

2. Learned Counsel further states that pursuant to withdrawal of ACP benefits, the petitioners are only claiming relief that recovery of ACP benefits, wrongly extended to them may not be recovered from petitioners [statement taken on record].

Arguments heard. Judgment reserved.

January 06, 2025
(Chiranjeev)

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge