

Sh. Vaneet Sood & Anr. Vs. State of H.P. & Anr.

CWP No.8180 of 2010

09.09.2025 Present: Mr. B.M. Chauhan, Sr. Advocate, with Ms. Kamakshi Tarlokta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, for respondent No.1.

Notice. Mr. Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners informed the Court that though *dasti* notices were taken by the petitioners for the service of respondents No.2(i) to 2 (iii), but the same could not be served as said respondents were not found at the given address at the relevant time. He submitted that this demonstrates that they in fact are evading the service, otherwise there was no occasion for all of them not to be present at the house at the same time. Learned Senior Counsel further submitted that the case be taken up after two weeks, so that an appropriate application for substituted service of the said respondents be filed.

Let an appropriate application for substituted service of the respondents be filed by the next date of hearing. As prayed for, list on **18.09.2025**.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

September 09, 2025
(Rishi)