

**Sanjay Kumar Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Anr. a/w
connected matters**

CWP Nos.3277, 3275 & 3279 of 2024

12.09.2025 Present: Mr. Aditya Chouhan, Advocate, for the petitioner(s),
in all the petitions.

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar & Mr. B.C. Verma, Additional Advocates General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Rangil Singh, Advocate, for respondent No. 2 in CWP No. 3275 of 2024.

Mr. Tek Ram Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.2 in CWP No. 3279 of 2024.

CMP No. 17430 of 2025 in CWP No.3277 of 2024

By way of instant application filed under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC, prayer has been made on behalf of applicant/petitioner for deletion of the name of respondent No.2.

It has been averred in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit that no relief has been prayed against respondent No.2 and otherwise also, decision with regard to grant of benefit of four tier pay structure under Assured Career Progression Scheme is to be taken by the respondents-State not by the office of Accountant General.

No reply is intended to be filed on behalf of non-applicants/respondents.

For the reasons stated herein above, there appears to be no impediment in accepting the prayer made on behalf of

the applicant/ petitioner for deletion of the name of respondent No.2.

In view of above, application is allowed and the name of respondent No.2 is ordered to be deleted from the array of parties. Registry to carry out necessary correction in the memo of parties with red ink. Application stands disposed of.

CWP Nos.3277, 3275 & 3279 of 2024

Since specific objection with regard to non-impleadment of Zila Parishad has been taken on behalf of the respondents-State, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file appropriate application for impleadment of Zila Parishad under which petitioners are working.

September 12, 2025
(sunil)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge