

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA****CWP No. 3688 of 2026****Decided on: 25.03.2026**

Girja Nand

.....Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and others ... Respondents

Coram**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge.****Whether approved for reporting?¹**

For the petitioner : Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate.

For the respondents : Ms. Shrutika, Advocate vice
Mr. Dheeraj K.Vashisht, Advocate.**Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge** (Oral)

Notice. Ms. Shrutika, learned Counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner has already represented to the Department with regard to the issue raised in the writ petition, the interest of justice would be served, in case, this petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority to decide the representation filed by the petitioner Annexure P-5 in the light of averments made therein within some reasonable time.

3. Without making any observation on the merit of the case, this writ petition is accordingly disposed of with the direction

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?



2026:HHC:9002

2

2026:HHC:9002

that the representation made by the petitioner, appended with the writ petition as Annexure P-5, shall be decided by the competent Authority, in light of the averments made therein within a period of 12 weeks from today, by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

March 25, 2026
(*narender*)