

State of H.P. vs. Parveen Kumar Samal

Cr. Appeal No.182 of 2021

18.10.2023 Present: Mr. Yashwardhan Chauhan & Mr. I.N. Mehta, Senior Additional Advocates General with Ms. Sharmila Patial, Additional Advocate General with Mr. J.S. Guleria, Deputy Advocate General for the appellant.

Mr. Ravi Kumar, Advocate, vice Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Parmod Chauhan, ASR, SCRB, CID, Mr. Raj Kumar, SI, SCRB, CIB and Mr. Ranjeet Const. SCRB, CID, are present in person.

Learned Deputy Advocate General states that the technical team has cross-checked, the particulars of respondent No.2 as given in column Nos.2, 3 and 4 of para 5 of the short affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No.3 and the ICJS is lifting the details of respondent No.2, on any one or all the given fields and yielding the same result by identifying respondent No.2. We really wonder, why this system did not respond when the Deputy Central Intelligence Officer Bureau of Immigration MHA, (Government of India) New Delhi checked the particulars of respondent No.2 which apparently are different from the one given in the petition and different from one appearing in the ICJS.

2. Mr. Balram Sharma, learned Deputy Solicitor General of India assures this Court that he will take up the matter with the concerned department(s) and desirability of having access to the ICJS system would also be taken up not only with the department of Bureau of Immigration, but also

::-2-::

with all other relevant and concerned departments like Passport Office, Immigration/Migration Office etc.

3. Let technical team of the State respond to the short affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No.3 within a period of two weeks.

List for consideration on **8th November, 2023.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

18th October, 2023
(shivender)