



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 3625 of 2026

Decided on: 25.03.2026

Sheetla Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of HP and others

... Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner : Mr. Yogesh Kumar Chandel,
Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Rahul Thakur, Deputy
Advocate General for respondents –
State.

Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge *[Oral]*

Notice. Mr. Rahul Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner has already represented to the Department with regard to the issue raised in the writ petition, the interest of justice would be served, in case, this petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority to decide the representation filed by the petitioner Annexure P-6 in the light of averments made therein within some reasonable time.

3. Without making any observation on the merit of the

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?



2026:HHC:8916

2026:HHC:8916

case, this writ petition is accordingly disposed of with the direction that the representation made by the petitioner, appended with the writ petition as Annexure P-6, shall be decided by the competent Authority, in light of the averments made therein within a period of 12 weeks from today, by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

March 25, 2026
(narender)