

**Gurmail Singh vs. Ram Nath and ors.**

**RSA No. 678 of 2012**

26.02.2026 Present: Mr. Arsh Chauhan, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Jai Ram Sharma, Advocate, for the proposed LRs No.2(a), 2(b), 2(b) (i), 2(b) (ii), 2(b) (iv) and 2(b) (v).

Mr. Sahil Dixit, Advocate, for respondents No.1, 3, 6 to 8, 10 and 11.

None for proposed respondent No. 2(c).

Proposed respondent No.2 (c) is served by way of affixation which is a valid service. However, there is no representation on behalf of respondent No.2 (c). Hence, the respondent No. 2(c) is proceeded against ex-parte.

**CMP No. 2662 of 2026**

The present application has been filed for the substituted service of respondent No.2(c). Since the Court had already ordered on 14.11.2025 that respondent No.2(c) be served by way of substituted service i.e., by way of affixation and he has been served by way of affixation for today, therefore, the application is disposed of as having become infructuous.

**RSA No. 678 of 2012**

The steps for the service of respondent No. 2(b) (iii) have not been taken. Be taken within a week, subject to last opportunity and the notice be issued to respondent No. 2(b) (iii) returnable within two weeks.

**(Rakesh Kainthla)**  
**Judge**

26<sup>th</sup> February, 2026  
(Nikita)