

RSA-678 of 2012

11.08.2025 Present: Ms. Preeti, vice counsel for the appellant.
Mr. Sahil Dixit, Advocate for respondents No.
1, 3, 6 to 8, 10 14.

Notices issued to proposed LR's No. 2(b)(i) and 2(b)(ii) have been received back duly served. However, none has put in appearance on their behalf today. As such, they are proceeded against *ex parte*.

Notice issued to proposed LR No. 2(b)(iii) has been received back unserved with the report that Dinesh Kumar deceased respondent No. 2(b) has no daughter with the name of Reena.

Notice issued to proposed LR's No. 2(b)(iv) and 2(b)(v), who are minor, have been served through grandmother residing jointly, who has stated that both the minors reside with her. As such, served through her. However, none has put in appearance on their behalf.

As per order dated 25.06.2025, appropriate application for substituted service of proposed LR No. 2(c) i.e. Babita has not been filed. Let the same be filed

within a period of two weeks.

Steps for service of proposed LR No. 2(b)(iii) by mentioning correct parentage be taken within the aforesaid time period. List thereafter.

Deputy Registrar (Judicial)

11.08.2025

(Vriti)