

**Ramesh Dutt vs. Union of India & Ors. a/w
connected matter**

**CWPIL No. 46 of 2022 with CWP No. 3123 of
2021 & CWP No. 1398 of 2018 and 1721 of 2013**

CWPIL No. 46 of 2022

09.01.2023 Present: Mr. S.D. Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Balram Sharma, DSGI, for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Vijay Kumar Arora, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

CWP No. 3123 of 2021 & CWP No. 1398 of 2018

Aakash Thakur, Advocate, vice Ms. Vandana Mishra and Mr. Arsh Rattan, Advocates, for the respective petitioner(s).

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, with Mr. Yashwardhan Chauhan, Senior Additional Advocate General, Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocate General and Mr. J.S. Guleria, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Balram Sharma, DSGI, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Vijay Kumar Arora, Advocate, for respondent No.6-BSNL.

Mr. Khem Raj, Advocate, vice Mr. Abhishek Sood, Advocate, for respondent No.7-Airtel.

Mr. Suneet Goel, Advocate, for respondent No.8-Reliance Jio Inforcomm.

Ms. Seema K. Guleria, Advocate, for respondent No.9.

Mr. Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.10-HPSEBL.

Mr. Nimish Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.11.

Mr. Mukesh Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.12.

Mr. Sandeep Dutta, Advocate, for respondent No.13.

CWP No. 1721 of 2013

Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, with Mr. Yashwardhan Chauhan, Senior Additional Advocate General, Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocate General and Mr. J.S. Guleria, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Varun Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Sandeep Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Mr. B.C. Negi, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.8.

Mr. Balram Sharma, DSGI, for respondent-UOI.

CWPIL No.46 of 2022 and CWP Nos.3123 of 2021 and 1398 of 2018

Despite repeated orders, HPSEBL, has not provided the requisite electricity connection, even after the amount in question, in majority of the cases, has been deposited many years back, the details of such cases are given below:-

Sr. No.	Indus ID	Date of applying for connection	Payment deposited	Reason for non-granting of connection even after payment deposit	Follow up details	Pending since
1.	IN-2018596	24-Sep-16	Rs.544408	ROW Issue	Kumarhouse/SDM follow up/Documents attached	2293 Days
2.	IN-2018917	20-Feb-19	Rs.6392	ROW Issue	Kumarhouse/SDM follow up/Documents attached	1414 Days
3.	IN-2018970	20-Jun-19	Rs.5065	ROW Issue	Kumarhouse/SDM follow up/Documents attached	1294 Days
4.	IN-2019005	02-Jul-19	Rs.6105	ROW Issue	Kumarhouse/SDM follow up/Documents attached	1282 Days
5.	IN-3121703	01-Aug-22	Rs.610550	DD deposited/voltage issue/Delay at SEB execution	100% Deposit/Department end	156 Days
6.	IN-3121624	01-Aug-22	Rs.601705	DD deposited/voltage issue/Delay at SEB execution	100% Deposit/Department end	156 Days
7.	IN-3133276	29-Jul-22	Rs.541620	DD deposited/voltage issue/Delay at SEB execution	100% Deposit/Department end	159 Days
8.	IN-2018744	17-Jan-18	Rs.581840	DD deposited/Delay at SEB execution	100% Deposit/Department end	1813 Days

9.	IN-2019232	23-Aug-22	Rs.606100	DD deposited/Delay at SEB execution	100% Deposit/Department end	134 Days
10.	IN-2019286	18-Feb-22	Rs.461122	DD deposited/Delay at SEB execution	100% Deposit/Department end	320 Days
11.	IN-2019311	25-Jul-22	Rs.440430	DD deposited/Delay at SEB execution	100% Deposit/Department end	163

In this background, let a responsible Officer of the HPSEBL shall personally appear before this Court alongwith the status report on the next date of hearing.

List on **12.01.2023.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Virender Singh)
Judge

January 09, 2023
(*Vinod*)