



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA 2026:HHC:8247

**CWP No.3514 of 2026
Decided on: 23.03.2026**

Shri Ramesh Chand	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Himachal Pradesh and others	... Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner:	Mr. Loveneesh Singh Thakur, Advocate.
For the respondents:	Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General.

Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge (Oral)

Notice. Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, learned Additional Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

2. By way of this petition, the petitioner has, inter alia, prayed for the following reliefs:-

- “1. Issue a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to implement the Award dated 27.06.2018 passed by the Labour Court in Ref. No. 46/2015.*
- 2. Direct the respondents to grant the petitioner all consequential benefits including back wages, continuity of service and monetary benefits in lieu of reinstatement.*
- 3. Direct the respondents to pay benefits under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act during the pendency of the proceedings.”*

3. This Court is of the considered view that as far as the prayer of implementation of the Award passed by the learned Labour Court through the medium of a writ is concerned, the relief is misconceived, as the petitioner has to approach the Labour Court for implementation of the Award. However, if the petitioner is aggrieved

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?



2026:HHC:8

2026:HHC:8247

by the Award, he may file a writ petition, for which liberty is granted independently to the petitioner.

4. The petition stands disposed of. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

March 23, 2026
(Rishi)