

Vikas Raj vs. HPSEBL a/w connected matter

CWP No. 2361/2022 a/w CWP Nos. 3146 & 6949/2022

CWP No. 2361/2022

30.12.2025 Present: Mr. Shivom Vashista, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Sunita Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Meenaskshi Katoch, Advocate, for respondent
No.1.

Ms. Shivani Priya, Advocate, vice Mr. Vikrant Thakur,
Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Ashwani K. Sharma, Advocate, for respondents
No. 33 and 35.

Mr. Bimal Gupta, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Kusum
Chaudhary, Advocate, for respondent No.34 (HPSEBL
Junior Engineers' & Additional Assistant Engineer's
Association).

CWP No. 6949/2022

Mr. Lovneesh Kanwar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Tek Chand, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rahul Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Anshul Jairath, Advocate, for respondents No. 2
to 14.

CWP No 3146/2022

Mr. Dilip Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Manish
Sharma & Ms. Ridhima Katoch, Advocates, for the
petitioners.

Mr. Rahul Thakur, Advocate vice Mr. Ravinder
Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Bimal Gupta, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Kusum
Chaudhary, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 to 5.

Mr. Shivom Vashista, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

Mr. Ashwani K. Sharma, Advocate, for respondents
No. 7 and 8.

CWP No. 2361/2025

Reply on behalf of respondent No.34 stands filed but
by mentioning it as reply on behalf of respondent No. 33.

Learned counsel for the said respondent is permitted to carry out necessary correction in the Court record and mark his initial in the presence of the Court Master.

In view of the order passed on 18.11.2025, right to file reply of those respondents, who have not filed the same, stands closed.

List for consideration on 8.1.2026.

CWP No. 6949/2022

List for consideration on 8.1.2026.

CWP No. 3146/2022

Reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 6 is on record, which has been adopted on behalf of respondents No. 2 to 5. Reply on behalf of respondents No. 7 and 8 is also on record. Rejoinder(s) to the replies, as prayed, be filed within two weeks positively.

Name of Mr. Ravinder Thakur, Advocate, be reflected in the cause list for respondent No.1 instead of Mr. Dhananjay Sharma, Advocate.

List for consideration on 8.1.2026.

CMP No. 16833/2025 in CWP No. 3146/2022

Keeping in view the nature of prayer, it appears that the response, if any, is to be on behalf of the respondent-Board. Mr. Rahul Thakur, Advocate, appearing for the respondent-Board submits that this petition has been assigned to Mr. Ravinder Thakur, Advocate, who is not available today because of ailment and, therefore, he prays for an adjournment for consideration of this application.

Before next date, the respondent-Board is directed to respond to the application either by filing reply or placing on record appropriate instructions.

List for consideration on 8.1.2026.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

30.12.2025
(pankaj)

