

Vikas Raj & Ors. vs. HPSEBL & Anr.

CWP No.2361 of 2022
a/w CWP Nos.3146 & 6949 of 2022

18.11.2025 Present : **CWP No.2361 of 2022**

Mr. Adarsh K. Vashista and Mr. Shivom Vashista,
Advocates, for the petitioners.

Ms. Sunita Sharma, Senior Advocate with Ms.
Meenakshi Katoch, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Ms. Shivani Priya, Advocate vice Mr. Vikrant Thakur,
Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Ashwani K. Sharma, Advocate with Mr. Nitin Rishi,
Advocate, for respondent No.33 & 35.

Ms. Kusum Chaudhary, Advocate, for respondent
No.34 (HPSEBL Junior Engineer's & Additional
Assistant Engineer's Association).

CWP No.6949 of 2022

Mr. Lovneesh Kanwar, Senior Advocate with Mr. Tek
Chand, Advocate, for the petitioners.

None for respondent No.1.

None for respondents No.2 to 14.

CWP No.3146 of 2022

Mr. Dilip Sharma, Senior Advocate with Mr. Bharat
Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Kusum Chaudhary, Advocate, for respondents No.2
to 5.

Mr. Adarsh K. Vashista and Mr. Shivom Vashista,
Advocates, for respondent No.6 (Er. Vikas Raj).

Mr. Ashwani K. Sharma, Advocate with Mr. Nitin Rishi,
Advocate, for respondent No.7 & 8.

CWP No.2361 of 2022

Mr. Nitin Rishi, Advocate, has pointed out that vide order
dated 17.06.2025 passed in CMP No.11745 of 2025, '*Vijay Kumar*' was

impleaded as party respondent. As per memo of parties, he was to be figured as party respondent No.33, however, on account of ministerial mistake, he has been ordered to be figured as respondent No.3.

It has been further submitted that in consequence of aforesaid mistake, vide order dated 29.07.2025 passed in CMP No.18051 of 2025, '*HPSEBL Junior Engineer's & Additional Assistant Engineer's Association*', was arrayed as party respondent No.33 instead of party respondent No.34.

Similarly, vide even dated 29.07.2025 order passed in CMP No.15559 of 2025, applicant ('*Tek Singh*') has been arrayed as party respondent No.34 instead of respondent No.35.

Perusal of the record depicts the aforesaid mistake. For rectifying the mistake, it is clarified by modifying orders (*supra*) that *Vijay Kumar s/o Sh. Durga Dass* shall figure as **Respondent No.33**; *HPSEBL Junior Engineer's & Additional Assistant Engineer's Association* shall figure as **Respondent No.34**; and *Tek Singh s/o Sh. Shyam Sukh* shall figure as **Respondent No.35**.

Amended memo of parties be filed on or before next date.

The counsel appearing for them, mentioned in previous orders, otherwise shall be treated to have appeared for them as per aforesaid corrected numbers of respondent.

Further two weeks' time, by way of last opportunity, is granted to file reply on behalf of respondents, who have not filed reply till date, failing which right to file reply shall stand closed. Rejoinder thereto, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List for consideration on 30.12.2025.

CWP No.6949 of 2022

List for consideration on 30.12.2025.

CMP No.16835 of 2025 in CWP No.3146 of 2022

On the basis of averments made in the application, the application for impleadment of *Tek Singh son of Sh. Shyam Sukh* and *Vijay Kumar son of Sh. Durga Dass*, is allowed, and they shall figure as respondent Nos.7 and 8, respectively.

Application stands disposed of.

CWP No.3146 of 2022

Vide order dated 28.06.2023 passed in CMP No.3714 of 2023, applicant (Er. Vikas Raj s/o Sh. Prem Singh) was ordered to be impleaded as party. It is clarified that applicant (Er. Vikas Raj s/o Sh. Prem Singh) in CMP No.3714 of 2023, shall figure as party respondent No.6 in the petition.

Amended memo of parties be filed on or before next date.

One more opportunity of two weeks is granted to file reply on behalf of respondents, who have not filed till date. Rejoinder thereto, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List for consideration on 30.12.2025.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

18th November, 2025
(Pardeep)