



Ravi Kumar Vs. HRTC

CWP No. 2700 of 2023

16.10.2023 Present: Mr. Jagannath, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Mukul Sharma, Advocate, vice counsel, for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of regular appointment from the initial date of appointment in terms of the judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in HRTC Vs. Lekh Ram, Civil Appeal No. 1557-1564 of 2019, decided on 08.02.2019.

Respondent-HRTC has filed its reply and has denied the claim of petitioner to be granted the benefits of regularization from the initial date of appointment.

In Lekh Ram (supra), the initial appointments of respondent therein were of the years 2012-2013 and they were governed by the Kith and Kin Policy, dated 20.10.2000, adopted by the HRTC. In the instant case, the initial appointment of the petitioner is of the year 2008.

In this view of the matter, it is not understood, as to how, the case of the petitioner herein will be different than the case of the respondent considered by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Lekh Ram case (supra).

Respondent No. 1 to clarify, as to on what grounds the case of the petitioner is different than the case of the respondents



2025:HHC:40591

in HRTC Vs. Lekh Ram, Civil Appeal No. 1557-1564 of 2019,
decided on 08.02.2019.

List on **01.11.2023**.

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16th October, 2023
(sushma)