

Abhimanyu

Vs. NIAC & ors.

FAO (MV) No.253 of 2025

27.04.2026 Present: Ms. Chetna Thapar, Advocate, vice Mr. Saurav Rattan, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Praneet Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

CMP No.8859 of 2026

The present application under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC has been filed for effecting service of respondent No.2, through substituted means. It has been mentioned in the application that the applicant/appellant tried to effect the service of respondent No.2, but he could not be served. Therefore, it is prayed that the said respondent may be served through substituted mode.

Heard. In view of the averments made in the application, the same is allowed and respondent No.2 is ordered to be served through publication in the daily newspaper "Amar Ujala", having circulation in the area, on filing the process fee and depositing publication charges within a period of two weeks and thereafter the case be listed before the Additional Registrar (Judicial) on 07.05.2026, for further orders with respect to the service of respondent No.2, through publication.

The application stands disposed of.

**(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge**

April 27, 2026
(V.Himalvi)