

Murat Singh Vs. Harish Gajju

COPC No. 114/2026

12.03.2026 Present: Mr. Sajeew Bhushan, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Rakesh Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Singh Thakur, Advocate, for the respondent.

Heard. On the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. D.C. Negi, Registrar Co-operative Societies, H.P., is added as respondent, who shall figure as respondent No.2 in the array of parties. Registry is directed to make necessary correction in the memo of parties.

Notice. Mr. Narender Singh Thakur, Advocate, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

Perusal of the material available on record reveals that on 22.12.2025 (Annexure P-1), this Court had directed the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner dated 27.11.2025 within a period of two weeks. Thereafter, on 09.01.2026, the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court passed the following order:-

“Pursuant to Murat Singh versus State of H.P and others, CWP No. 20216/2025, decided on 20.12.2025, learned counsel for the respondent No.3 has placed on record copy of order dated 05.01.2026 deciding petitioner’s representation dated 27.11.2025 with the assurance that“Bank shall conduct the

Departmental Promotion Committee(DPC) within a period of two months, subject to and after completion of all codal formalities required for conducting the DPC. It was further directed to complete the ACRs for last 03 years on or before 20.01.2026, positively.”

In view of this office order dated 05.01.2026 placed on record by the respondents by way of compliance and taking note of assurance given therein, present proceedings are closed.”

Now, the present contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner on the ground that in compliance of the order dated 09.01.2026 (Annexure P-2), the DPC has not been conducted till date and the petitioner will suffer irreparable loss if, the order is not implemented in its letter and spirit, as he is going to superannuate on 31.03.2026.

Therefore, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, it would be in the interest of justice to give one more opportunity of one week to the respondents to comply with order dated 09.01.2026 in its letter and spirit, failing which both the respondents shall appear in person, before this Court.

List on **20.03.2026**.

(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge

12th March, 2026
(Kiran)