

Tek Chand and ors. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others

CWP No.1116 of 2019

11.03.2026 Present: Mr. Rajnish Maniktala, Senior Advocate with Ms. Samriti Rathour, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Raj Negi, Deputy Advocate General, for respondent No.1-State.
Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Reply has not been filed to the amended writ petition.

Learned Advocate General submits that in the amended writ petition, except impleading newly appointed Deputy Chief Whip, nothing has been changed and, therefore, no fresh reply is intended to be filed on behalf of respondent No.1 and reply already filed to the writ petition be considered to have been filed to the amended petition also.

One more but last opportunity of two weeks is granted to file reply on behalf of respondent No.2, failing which it shall be construed that no reply is intended to be filed on behalf of respondent No.2 and petition shall be considered on the basis of material already on record.

List for consideration on **20.04.2026.**

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 11, 2026
[Bhardwaj]