

RSA No. 386 of 2017

21.9.2017. Present: Mr. Karan Singh Kanwar, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Bimal Gupta, Senior Advocate, with Ms. Rubina Bhatt, Advocate, for the respondents.

Heard. *Admitted* on the following substantial question of law:

Whether on account of misreading, misappreciation and misconstruction of the law and facts as well as the oral and documentary evidence available on record, the judgment and decree under challenge in the main appeal being perverse and vitiated is not legally sustainable?

Be tagged with RSA No. 384 of 2017, arising out of the same judgment and decree.

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary),
Judge.

September 21, 2017,
(vs)